Revenue

App F. IX-4

Previous References

1935. 36
(Pongal)

File No. 231-35
Serial Nos. 1 — 2 —

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos —

Part B for April 1936

SUBJECT.

Panchayatdar's post of the temple of Sri Ranganathaswamy at Srirangam Taluk Trichy Dt.

No 1392 9.4.36

To Divisional Officer
Executive Officer of the temple of
Ranganathaswamy at
Srirangam Trichy Dt.

Herewith the letter from the
Collector of Trichy Dt.

Serial No.

Letter No. 1331/36-35 dt. 7.11.1935 from the Mayor Commissioner intimating receipt relating to the Appeal of T Venkatarangiah against his removal from the post of Pamphleteer in Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Tirumala. Magistrate Tirupati requesting that as he passed orders in the case as the Mayor Commissioner himself was the complainant the case may be entrusted to his file of the Sub Collector.

1) The petitioner has been removed from the Post on account of his unsatisfactory work. The points in dispute are. It appears, the appeal is admitted & the petitioner has filed orders are pending —

G.J 5/12 —

2) Writ Petition on the file —

Remarks in file (D No. 518) —

(3) This cannot be done as the Lawyers are sure to take objection to such a procedure is adopted. All the four cases may be posted before — Sub Court for orders. The Law Dept. [illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13 7 35

of this opinion (as pro mally ascertained by the C.W).

Instd. B.M.
of 9.12.35

4) The record shows that the petitioner T Venkatarangiah was a part time Pampathegar in the temple of Sri Ranganathaswamy at Tirumalai besides being a Shanbhogue in the Magadi Ta. As his work was found unsatisfactory he has been removed from the Pampathegaris post. Vide, Amildars report of 31.7.35 & the D.C's letter of 9.8.35 addressed to the D.C. on the Subject of the Pampathegars removal from Service - The M.C. in his order of 5.10.34 while approving a revised scale of Co pensation fixes temple has sanctioned the appointment of a full time Pampathegar on Rs 15/- p.m - & one Srirangachar who has passed S.S.L.C & Accountancy has been appointed temporarily as a Pampathegar in place of the petr. The Amildar has opined that as he does not belong to Magadi he is not likely to involve himself in village politics

D.C's roc no 3384 of 27/4/35 ✕ ✕ D. ✕ N ✕ x

7

Serial No.

4) As the Pamphattegars post is not a hereditary one, it is open to the Concerned officers to appoint better men if the work of the previous Pamphattegar around the present Case is found unsatisfactory — It is due to that the people has now put forward for [illegible] grounds for a reconsideration of the orders passed in the lower offices —

5) Submitted for orders —

GN 18/3/36

6) The above office note explains the case. If the facts explained petr may be informed that ~~his~~ the orders passed by the D.C. are upheld & that his petn is rejected.

18/3/36

7) Gen: Secy) [A] Is the place not hereditary? How do you say this?

18/3

18/3

8) Kind ref^ce is invited to para (a) — Sec^n [illegible] — Chapter V — Page 326 of the Revised Manual. It has been ordered in No 77-65, dt. 4 of 19-4-1892 that the appointment of — Pamphattegars in Tamil is not to be [illegible]

[illegible]

Gen: Secy)

20/3

20/3

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 4 APR 1936 57

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 20 MAR 1936 669

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03694—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Mis Appl no 16 of 35-36.

4) Prayer of T. Vaithalaranjiah for his being restored to the Pariapathagiri post in Sri Ranganatha Swami temple - Tirumali mapaor.

Mis File No. 231 of 1935 | General and Revenue Secretariat

1) Subd for perusal.

2) Petitioner was found unsuitable as parapathegar in his place and was removed by the DC Bkm (the present Mun Comr who has referred the case for disposal)

3) He requests that he may be reinstated. There is no reason for interfering with the DC's order the petition may be rejected.

First Member)

Mis 3/3 1/3/36

Spl 1/4 Mis 1/4 2/4/36

Dy Sec 2/4/36 4/4

Dy Sec 4/4/36

HRI Branch 1 APR. 1936 7089

TYPING GENL 4. APR. 1936

No. 1393 dy 7.4.36 to T. Vaithalaranjiah & Ex Pariapathegar of Sri Ranganatha Swami temple Tirumali Mapaor Taluk intimating that Government see no reason to interfere with the order of the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore in the matter.

Memo no 1394 dy 7-4-36 to the Mysore Commissioner

B 11.4.36

16/4

Letter App.33/34-35, dated 6/7th November 1935, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, to the General Secretary to Government.

I have the honor to forward herewith the marginally

App. 37/33-34.)
App. 3/34-35. (appeals together with the connected records
App. 15/32-33.)
App. 33/34-35. (

and to request that as orders appealed against in these cases were passed by me as Deputy Commissioner, they may be arranged to be disposed of by Government.

C O P Y.

9/11/35

COURT FEE TWO RUPEES

8/1

To

The Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore,

Bangalore.

A10 183

24 JUN 935

Most Respected Sir,

I, the petitioner, T. Venkatrangiah most respectfully beg to bring the following few lines to your honor's kind consideration. I was the Parpathegar of the Sree Ranganathaswamy temple, Thirumalai village, Kasaba hobli, Magadi taluk.

1. My father as well as my grandfather were Parpathegars of the Sree Ranganathaswami temple on a very poor salary of Rs. 2/- to Rs. 3/- . There were able to manage the temple without any complaints in a good manner on account of their strenuous efforts. I, the petitioner was working as Parpathegar till 14-5-35.

2. I have been getting Rs. 4/- per mensem till October 1934 for seven years. For the last 6 or 7 months I have been getting Rs. 15/- per mensem on account of the revised scale.

3. There were no complaints whatsoever either from the Muzrai officer, or from any other higher officers. I was always in the temple looking after the convenience of the worshippers and managing the affairs of the temple in a very satisfactory manner.

4. The Muzrai ~~Commissioner~~ Officer and the Dharmadarshi Committee were pleased with my work and sanctioned a revised scale of pay of Rs. 15/- per mensem and there was no order that some other man should be appointed in my place.

8/2

5. A year back a new Dharmadarshi Committee was formed. The convener played mischief with the management of the temple and I was forced to report the same to the Taluk Office. On account of this the convener had a grudge on me and lodged false complaints on me to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District asking him to appoint a new man in my place. The Deputy commissioner issued an order that a new man should be appointed without consulting either with the Muzrai officer or the Dharmadharshi Committee. I also got an order from the Deputy Commissioner ₱No. 3-3384/34-35. I also applied to the Deputy Commissioner to consider over my case on 20-5-35, but I have not yet received any reply.

6. The convener took charge of Parpathegar on 14-5-35 and he is inducing his relations to apply for the post. Anyhow the matter is not yet settled and the appointment is not yet filled up.

I request your honor to kindly sympathise me who is solely depending on this appointment and who can claim for the appointment as a hereditary right. I am making my livelihood only through this source and I have to starve in case I am left helpless.

I hope your honor may kindly extend your generosity on me the helpless and help me in need.

For which act of kindness I shall feel ever grateful,

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. Venkatrangaiah.

T. Venkatrangiah,
Thirumalai,
Magadi Taluk,
Dated 24th June 35.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mag

46 File No. 231-35

Serial No. 2

No. 1393

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

To

Sri. T. Venkatarangiah.
The Temple
Ex Parupathegar of Sri
Ranganathaswami -
at Tirumale - Magadi
Bangalore Dt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue ... 7-4-86 .....

Signed by K. B. Reddy Krishnappa

With reference to his petn of 24.6.35
addressed to the M.C. praying
that he may be continued as
the Parupathegar of the I
of Sri Ranganathaswami
at Tirumale, Magadi Tk.
Sri T. Venkatarangiah
is informed that Govt
see no reason to interfere
with the order of the A.C.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by... .....

WD 01956—GPB—22,590—12-6-34

Q

Enquiry ordered in the matter and that
his pay is ~~stopped~~ rejected

A-O

4/4/36

Memo No 1894/Estt for Gen Sec
Leave records V.C with dt 7-4-36
Copy to the M.C. ~~Secretary~~ the
Records received vide
Letter No A 8/3 33/34-35
dt 7.11.1935 in the subject

A-O

4/4/36
For Gen Sec

2/4/36